			-		OTE			_		,
ľ	N() I (H'())K	CI'	Ι'Δ'	1	()	N	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA **EUREKA DIVISION**

BRUCE REMINGTON,

No.09-CV 09-4547 NJV ORDER RE COSTS

Plaintiff,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

JOHN MATHSON, JOY MATHSON,

Defendants.

The Court entered judgment for Defendants on April 3, 2012. Pending before the Court is Defendants' Bill of Costs, filed April 17, 2012. (Docket No. 95.) Plaintiff has filed an opposition to the Bill of Costs. (Docket No. 96.)

The only costs sought by Defendants are those for depositions conducted March 14, 2011, and March 17, 2011. Deposition costs are specifically allowed under 28 U.S.C. § 1920(2) and Rule 54(d)(1), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court has reviewed Plaintiff's objections to the Bill of Costs and finds them meritless. The Court notes in particular that Defendants' Bill of Costs filed April 17, 2012, was filed fourteen days after the entry of Judgment and was therefore timely. See Civil Local Rule 54-1(a); Rule 6(a)(1)(A), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (day of the event which triggers the period is excluded when computing time).

Defendants request costs for the reporter's attendance at the depositions on March 14, 2011, and March 17, 2011. However, costs for reporter attendance are allowable only if the witness fails to appear. Civil Local Rule 54-3(c). It is undisputed that Plaintiff appeared at the deposition on March 17, 2011. Accordingly, costs for the reporter attendance at that deposition will not be allowed.

Case 1:09-cv-04547-NJV Document 107 Filed 06/07/12 Page 2 of 2

DATED: June 7, 2012

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE